

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/604,304	07/09/2003	BRUCE PRESTON WILLIAMS	202-1228 1303		
7590 11/08/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Artz & Artz, P	.C.		PHAN, H.	AU VAN	
28333 Telegrap	h Road				
Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Southdield, MI 48034			3618		

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	•					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/604,304	WILLIAMS, BRUCE PRESTON			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Hau V. Phan	3618			

Advisory Action	10/604,304	WILLIAMS, BRUCE	PRESTON				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hau V. Phan	3618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
• •		•					
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	a) and the appropriate exte The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,11 and 12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ails to provide a (1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  Hau V Phan Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3618							
13. [ Other:	toughon	Hau V Phan					
	11/04/05	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3618					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

¥

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The final is still deem propered. In response to application's argument that the fascia plate in Blake can not function as a step. The examiner disagrees, because Blake discloses the fascia for covering the hitch, but it can be used as a step, when the fascia is in opened position for operator access to the motor vehicle.